

DAVID COOPER
PLAINTIFF,

RECEIVED

V.

CASE NO. 2:06-CV-418-MNT
2006 AUG -1 A 10:02

DR. NICHOLS et al,
DEFENDANTS

FEDERAL BUILDING
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

MOTION TO NOTIFY THE COURT OF MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

Comes now, the plaintiff, pro se, in the above style manner, pursuant to F.R. Civ.-P. motion to notify the court of miscellaneous matters. Plaintiff wishes to notify this court of Exhibit-K maximum exposure, which will later on prove a lot of truth about the corruption and lies that the defendants has brought to this court which simply prove that DR. Nichols including the medical nurse Supervisor allow an LPN Nurse Williams, on 7-27-06, play doctor and nurse on 7-27-06, see maximum exposure Exhibit-K with her signature on it and also she bear witness by her signature prescript medication also who don't have a license to do so, and the maximum exposure clearly speak against DR. Nichols, defendant, including both medical nursing Supervisor, as to who are defendants, plaintiff, states that maximum exposure Exhibit-K can be use initially from the beginning of filed 1983 lawsuit to the answers of amend complaint, nurse Williams signature reveal Basically now corrupted the medical department is and most of all they are liar and are co-worker cover-up their lies

For each other, and again reveal how inadequately this medical teams really is. It reveal the truth, and on the other hand show that Dr. Nichols, including medical nursing supervisor, allow anything regarding these nurses behavior, and that plaintiff, is waiting to hear more lies from all defendants. Plaintiff further state that both attorneys are very skillful regard deceive, also who use their education to even deceive the magistrate judge, it is call an education form of trickery to even mess of these peoples in this country. Plaintiff further states that basically all Business is equited with education degree, and what have you, it is normal in this country of all professional to use their education skills to deceive peoples, it is also not what you have learn, but now you use what you learn. Plaintiff further state that he didnt receive a indigent package on 7-21-06, and again 7-28-06, again. Plaintiff, didnt receive a indigent pack. plaintiff, further state that maybe they might say and lie again and states that plaintiff, never put in for indigent those days, which would be another lie. They know plaintiff, needs his Stamps envelopes to redress to the Court and after they seeing maximum exposure Exhibit-K they are going to try and justify there denial of indigent to continue try to put plaintiff, at a disadvantage. plaintiff, request a order to force the center to allow plaintiff, indigent because he is order by this Court to respond in a certain amount of days to this honorable Court, without any interference from Co-Workers Deputies including the Disciplinary Report

as indicated Summary Page 22, inmates hand-Book clearly shows the plaintiff, can receive indigent supply no. 5, paragraph C, shows that plaintiff, is allow indigent supply in emergency situation and this is a emergency situation because plaintiff, is under order of the court to answer within a certain amount days, and immediately request an order for him to receive all indigent supply which are soap, toothpaste, toothbrushes, deoderant and two stamps envelopes twice a week which amount to (4) Four stamps envelopes and eight sheets of writing papers weekly. See Exhibit-M, a copy of Page 22, in the inmates hand book, and that can't copy Exhibits for him self are the defendants but defendants have excess to get a inmates rule book here at M.C.D. For plaintiff, further state with all due respect to the magistrate judge ability to see what is happen regarding defendants attorneys sneaking in his answers which was transform into motion for Summary judge come in play which shouldn't have been connected to the answers as plaintiff, will prove later on in his responding to Defendants answer that the magistrate judge repeatedly stated on all orders not to be filed any motion for dismissal or motion for Summary judgment without asking the magistrate judge first, but they the defense attorneys are trying to deceive the judge the truth will prevail in the end.

Daniel Cooper, 7743
Date 7-28-06.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I plaintiff, pro se, has forward copies to the below mention people, clerk of the court, defense attorneys certificate of service, motion for leave to file, motion to notify the court of miscellaneous matters, motion for extension of time Exhibit M only to the clerk including maximum exposure Exhibit K by placing them in the u.s. mail this 28th day of July 2006. And motion for other penalties.

Paul W. W. 7743

Plaintiff, pro se,
M.C.D.F.

P.O. Box 4599

Prichard, AL 36195